

UNITED SWATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| EXAMINER 025267 BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP CHAN, K | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED | NVENTOR | A | TTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------------|
| 025267 PM82/0924 BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP 135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 3632 | 09/629,524 | 08/01/00 | METZ | | D | 8266-0371 |
| BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP 135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 3632 | 095967 | , | EMOO 10004 | \neg . | E | XAMINER |
| 135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 3632 | | EY & EVANS | | | CHAN K | |
| INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204 3632 | 135 N PENNS | YLVANIA ST | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 0002 | | | | | • | ·- |
| | INDIANAPOLI | S IN 46204 | · | r | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

| | , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Action Comme | 09/629,524 | METZ ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| - | Th. 444 No. 2 | Korie H. Chan | 3632 | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any | MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) data ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. FD (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | | | |
| 1)🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A | ugust 2000 . | | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | . , , == = | - · - · - · - · | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept | ted or b) objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | |
| _ | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | ` , | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | oved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl | | | | | | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| * .9 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list o | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | |
| _ a) | igcup The translation of the foreign language prov | risional application has been rec | ceived. | | | | |
| م ااردا Attachment | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 |) and/or 121. | | | | |
|) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> . | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| יוווווו אַבּא וי | iduon Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s) 3. | 6) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/629,524

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 29 is redundant to claim 28 both of which depend from claim 26. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2, line 2, "axis" should be corrected to "the axis". Claim 4, line 1, "lock device" should be corrected to "lock member". Claim 6, line 1, "the release" lacks proper antecedent basis. Independent claim 10 (as well as independent claim 20) recites the "second position" in lines 8-9 as "locking the position of the adjustment pole relative to the base pole". On the other hand, independent claim 1, lines 8-10 (as well as claim 25) recites the lock member as having "a second position" in which the edge disengages from the second member" to allow movement of the second member relative to the first member. Even though these claims are independent from each other, the use of the term "second position" with two contradicting meanings - one being a locked position, and the other being an unlocked position, render the claims as a whole vague and indefinite. Regarding claim 13, line 2, "a direction opposite said direction" is vague and indefinite as the two directions are

Art Unit: 3632

not distinguishable from one another. Examiner suggest the use of "a second direction opposite said first direction" in claim 13, and in claim 12, replace "a direction with "a first direction".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Lundstrom'681. Lundstrom'681 discloses a support assembly comprising a telescoping
assembly with a base pole (1), an adjustment pole (9), and lock member (12) coupling
the base pole and adjustment pole; wherein the lock member comprises a locking plate
(4) biased in a locking position having an aperture (21) being askewed in the lock
position, a release member (7) biased to the lock position by spring, a housing (1 and 3)
with locking member pivotally coupled therein at angles deviating from 90 degrees
relative to the longitudinal axis, and the release member (7) positioned to slide on the
housing, wherein the housing has groove (between 8 and 11) to receive end of lock
member (4) and the release has a notch (between 7 and 11) receive the other end of
the lock member (4).

Applicant's intended use for supporting medical device is not accorded with patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/629,524

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1-29 and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toder'074. Toder'074 discloses a telescoping assembly with a base pole (22), an adjustment pole (21) made of corrosion resistant material being stainless steel and plastic material (Col. 1, lines 14-15), and a lock member (47, fig. 11) coupling the base pole and adjustment pole; wherein the lock member comprises a locking plate (47) biased in a locking position having an aperture (48) being askewed in the lock position, a release member (37, 36, fig. 3) biased to the lock position by spring, a housing (27) with the locking member pivotally coupled therein and movable at angles deviating from 90 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis, and the release member (37) positioned to slide on the housing, wherein the housing has groove (between top edge of 21 and 27, fig. 11) to receive end of lock member (4), and a hook (23) mounted on adjustment pole.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heller et al'536. Heller discloses a support assembly comprising a telescoping assembly with a base pole (20), an adjustment pole (30), and lock member (35) coupling the base pole and adjustment pole; wherein the lock member comprises a locking plate (39') biased in a locking position by spring (41) having an aperture (39a, fig. 3) being askewed in the lock position, a release member (40) biased to the lock position by spring (41), a housing (34) with locking member pivotally coupled therein (at 70') at angles deviating from 90 degrees relative to the longitudinal axis, and the release member (42) positioned to slide on the housing.

Applicant's intended use for supporting medical device is not accorded with patentable weight.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lin'162 and Johnson et al'027 discloses telescoping medical device support assembly. Cohen'023, Adams'081, Lewis'947, MacKnight'24290, and Lung et al'014 all teach telescoping assembly with locking plate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Les Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Korie H. Chan

Examiner

Art Unit 3632

khc

September 20, 2001